- 1				
1	TRAVIS F. CHANCE, ESQ., Nevada Bar No.	13800		
2	tchance@bhfs.com EMILY L. DYER, ESQ., Nevada Bar No. 1451	2		
3	edyer@bhfs.com BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK	T, LLP		
4	100 North City Parkway, Suite 1600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89106			
5	Telephone: 702.382.2101 Facsimile: 702.382.8135			
6	RICHARD ROSENBERG, ESQ. (admitted pro	hac vice)		
7	rrosenberg@brgslaw.com DAVID FISHMAN, ESQ. (admitted <i>pro hac vi</i>	ce)		
8	dfishman@brgslaw.com ALEXIS CIRKINYAN, ESQ. (admitted pro ha	c vice)		
9	acirkinyan@brgslaw.com BALLARD ROSENBERG GOLPER & SAVIT	TT, LLP		
10	15760 Ventura Boulevard, 18 th Floor Encino, CA 91436			
11	Telephone: 818.508.3700			
12	Attorneys for Defendants DTG Las Vegas, LLC Fifth Street Gaming, LLC; and DTG Las Vegas			
13	dba Downtown Grand Hotel & Casino			
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
15	DISTRICT OF NEVADA			
16				
17	U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION,	CASE NO.: 2:23-cv-00510-APG-NJK		
18	Plaintiff,			
19	vs. DTG LAS VEGAS, LLC; FIFTH STREET	FIRST AMENDED STIPULATION ANI ORDER TEMPORARILY STAYING ACTION PENDING MEDIATION		
20				
21	GAMING, LLC; AND DTG LAS VEGAS MANAGER, LLC dba DOWNTOWN			
22	GRAND HOTEL & CASINO, and DOES 1-10, inclusive,			
23				
	Defendants.			
24	Defendants.			
	Defendants.			
24 25 26	Defendants.			
25	Defendants.			

Plaintiff United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("Plaintiff" or "EEOC") and Defendants DTG Las Vegas, LLC, DTG Las Vegas Manager, LLC, and Fifth Street Gaming, LLC (collectively, "Defendants")(Defendants and Plaintiffs collectively, "the Parties"), by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

- 1. On April 6, 2023, Plaintiff filed this Action for violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 ("ADA"), as amended by the ADA Amendments Act ("ADAAA") of 2008 (hereinafter the "ADA/ADAAA"). (ECF No. 1).
- 2. On July 3, 2023, Defendants collectively filed an Answer to the EEOC's Complaint. (ECF No. 14).
- 3. The Parties' Discovery Plan/Scheduling Order is currently due on August 17, 2023. (ECF No. 14). Thus, no discovery deadlines or date for trial has been set.
- 4. The Parties have scheduled private, in-person Mediation with Sonya D. Goodwin on November 8, 2023.
- 5. The Parties have exchanged settlement information as part of settlement efforts in advance of the scheduled Mediation with Ms. Goodwin, a significant amount of which was not available or considered during the Parties' prior conciliation efforts. Additionally, current counsel for Defendants were not counsel of record at the time of conciliation efforts. This change, along with the additional exchange of information adds to a likelihood of success at mediation.
- 6. To allow the Parties time to participate in mediation, the Parties hereby stipulate and agree that all court proceedings and deadlines, including the requirements of LR 26-1 and FRCP 26(a)(1), should be stayed for 120 days.
- 7. The Court has the power to stay proceedings as part of its inherent power "to control the disposition of the causes on its docket with economy of time and effort for itself, for counsel, and for litigants." *Landis v. N. Am. Co.*, 299 U.S. 248, 254 (1936). In deciding whether to grant a stay, a court may weigh the "competing interests of the parties and of the Court." *Coker v. Dowd*, No. 2:13-CV-0994-JCM-NJK, 2013 WL 12216682, at *1 (D. Nev. July 8, 2013). The competing interests that may be considered include "the

2237091.3

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
77	Ш	1

possible damage which may result from the granting of a stay, the hardship or inequity which a party may suffer in being required to go forward, and the orderly course of justice measured in terms of the simplifying or complicating of issues, proof, and questions of law which could be expected to result from a stay." CMAX, Inc. v. Hall, 300 F.2d 265, 268 (9th Cir. 1962).

- 8. The Parties submit that the competing interests weigh in favor of a stay. A stay will promote judicial economy and allow this Court to more effectively control the disposition of the cases on its docket with economy of time and effort for itself, for counsel, and the litigants. Given that this case is in its early stages and no deadlines or trial date have been set further supports a stay to allow the Parties an opportunity to resolve this case in mediation. Staying the case pending mediation will save the Parties from having to incur additional, potentially unnecessary litigation costs.
- 9. This stipulation is entered in good faith, is reasonably necessary, and is not sought for the purposes of delay.
- 10. This is the Parties' second request to stay this proceeding.
- 11. The EEOC intends to file a status report regarding the status of the Parties' mediation no later than November 17, 2023.

//

//

28

Nancy J. Koppe

IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: August 15, 2023

that date.

United States Magistrate Judge

The stipulation to stay is **GRANTED** in part. This matter is stayed until November 15, 2023. A joint status report must be filed by

2237091.3

2237091.3